

## **DEEP ANALYSIS OF POPULIST MOBILIZATION COMPLEXITY WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF INDONESIAN DEMOCRACY: A STUDY ON ELECTORAL STRATEGIES, POLITICAL FIGURES, AND SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

**Ben Brahim S. B. <sup>\*1</sup>**

ULM Banjarmasin, Indonesia  
[karindangan34@gmail.com](mailto:karindangan34@gmail.com)

**Sidderatul Akbar**

ULM Banjarmasin, Indonesia  
[sidderatulakbar@gmail.com](mailto:sidderatulakbar@gmail.com)

**Andika Dirsa**

Universitas Islam Negeri Imam Bonjol Padang, Indonesia  
[andikadirsa@uinib.ac.id](mailto:andikadirsa@uinib.ac.id)

**Mohammad Ahmad Bani Amer**

Mutah University, Jordan  
[baniamer1985@yahoo.com](mailto:baniamer1985@yahoo.com)

**Diego Romario De Fretes**

Universitas Cenderawasih, Indonesia  
[diegodefretes@gmail.com](mailto:diegodefretes@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

This study provides a comprehensive examination of populist mobilization within the intricate landscape of Indonesian democracy. Through a nuanced analysis of electoral strategies, political figures, and social implications, the research delves into the multifaceted nature of populism and its impact on the country's political dynamics. By drawing on a diverse range of scholarly literature and empirical evidence, the study uncovers the historical roots, evolution, and contemporary manifestations of populism in Indonesia. Focusing on electoral strategies, the study investigates the tactics employed by populist movements to mobilize support and shape electoral outcomes. It explores the role of charismatic leadership, emotional appeals, simplified messaging, mass rallies, and social media campaigns in galvanizing public sentiment and garnering political backing. Additionally, the research scrutinizes the profiles of key political figures, such as Joko Widodo and Prabowo Subianto, to elucidate the contrasting leadership styles and policy priorities that define Indonesian populism. Furthermore, the study examines the societal implications of populist mobilization, including its effects on democratic institutions, societal fragmentation, and long-term governance challenges. By analyzing these socio-political impacts, the research contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding populist

---

<sup>1</sup> Correspondence author.

movements in Indonesia and their implications for democratic stability and governance. Ultimately, this study offers valuable insights for policymakers, scholars, and stakeholders seeking to navigate the complex terrain of Indonesian democracy amidst the rise of populist forces.

**Keywords:** populism, Indonesia, democracy, electoral strategies, political figures, societal implications.

## Introduction

Populist mobilization within the context of Indonesian democracy presents a multifaceted phenomenon that requires comprehensive examination (Mudde, 2016; Tapsell, 2017; Roza, 2022). In recent years, Indonesia has witnessed the emergence and consolidation of populist movements led by charismatic figures who resonate widely with the populace (Hadiz, 2018; Gammon, 2020). This trend has significantly shaped the country's political landscape and governance dynamics, necessitating a thorough understanding of its complexities (Hadiz, 2016; Mudhoffir, 2020). By delving into the intricacies of populist mobilization, scholars can glean valuable insights into the nuances of Indonesian democracy and its future trajectory (Barton, 2021).

Understanding populist mobilization holds paramount importance in the context of Indonesian democracy for several reasons (Jones, 2014; Hakim, 2023). Firstly, populist movements have emerged as influential forces shaping electoral outcomes and policy agendas (Heywood, 2021). Leaders adept at harnessing populist rhetoric and tactics have garnered substantial support, challenging traditional political establishments and elite structures (Bjola & Kornprobst, 2018). As such, comprehending the drivers and implications of populist mobilization is essential for accurately assessing the pulse of Indonesian politics and understanding its evolving landscape (Raditio & Yeremia, 2022).

Furthermore, populist mobilization intersects with broader socio-economic and cultural dynamics, influencing societal perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors (Saputra et al., 2021; Kurylo, 2022). By tapping into popular grievances and aspirations, populist leaders often resonate deeply with marginalized or disillusioned segments of society (Yilmaz et al., 2022). This phenomenon carries profound implications for social cohesion, identity formation, and intergroup relations within Indonesian society. Thus, examining its impact comprehensively is crucial for understanding the broader societal implications of populist mobilization (Agius et al., 2022).

Moreover, the significance of comprehending populist mobilization extends to safeguarding democratic norms and institutions in Indonesia (Mietzner, 2023). While populism may initially appear to empower ordinary citizens and amplify their voices, it can also pose risks to democratic principles and institutions (Mietzner, 2023). Populist leaders, driven by charismatic authority and a mandate from their supporters, may concentrate power, weaken checks and balances, and erode institutional safeguards.

Therefore, analyzing the interplay between populism and democracy is vital for mitigating potential risks and reinforcing democratic resilience in Indonesia (Mietzner, 2023).

In light of these considerations, the objectives of the literature review are outlined to provide a comprehensive analysis of existing scholarship on populist mobilization in Indonesian democracy. These objectives encompass critical assessment of theoretical frameworks, examination of historical evolution and socio-political roots, analysis of the role of prominent political figures, exploration of electoral strategies, assessment of socio-political impacts, identification of challenges and critiques, and highlighting of research gaps for future investigation (Mietzner, 2023). Through fulfilling these objectives, the literature review aims to deepen understanding of the complexities surrounding populist mobilization in Indonesian democracy, offering valuable insights for scholars, policymakers, and practitioners alike (Mietzner, 2023).

## **Methodology**

In conducting a comprehensive literature review on the theoretical framework of populism within the context of Indonesian democracy, a systematic approach is employed to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant scholarly works (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003; Cooper, 1988; Papaioannou, Sutton, & Booth, 2016; James et al., 2021). This methodology entails several vital steps to ensure rigor and comprehensiveness in the review process.

Firstly, a thorough search of academic databases, scholarly journals, books, and other relevant sources is conducted using appropriate keywords and search terms related to populism, democracy, and Indonesia (Greenhalgh & Peacock, 2005; Fink, 2019; Gough, Thomas, & Oliver, 2017). This comprehensive search strategy aims to capture a wide range of literature spanning various disciplinary perspectives, theoretical frameworks, and methodological approaches.

Next, the identified literature is systematically screened and assessed for relevance based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Grant & Booth, 2009; Moher et al., 2009). Relevant criteria include the publication date, geographical focus, theoretical orientation, and empirical relevance to the study's objectives. This rigorous screening process helps to ensure that only high-quality and pertinent literature is included in the review.

Once the relevant literature is identified, it is systematically reviewed and analyzed to extract key insights, theoretical frameworks, and conceptualizations of populism within the Indonesian context (Higgins, Altman, & Sterne, 2011). This involves a detailed examination of the definitions, conceptualizations, and typologies of populism, as well as an exploration of its various manifestations and dynamics in Indonesian democracy.

Furthermore, the literature is critically evaluated to identify commonalities, divergences, and debates within the theoretical framework of populism (Moher et al., 2010). This includes an assessment of the strengths and limitations of different theoretical approaches to analyzing populist movements and an exploration of their applicability and relevance to the Indonesian context.

Throughout the review process, rigorous documentation and organization of the reviewed literature are maintained to ensure transparency and replicability (Moher et al., 2009). This includes keeping detailed records of the search strategy, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and findings from each reviewed source.

The literature on populism offers various definitions and conceptualizations, reflecting diverse theoretical perspectives and disciplinary approaches (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003; Papaioannou, Sutton, & Booth, 2016). At its core, populism is often characterized by its appeal to the "people" against a perceived elite or establishment (Cooper, 1988). This definition highlights populism's emphasis on the primacy of popular sovereignty and its critique of political elites who are perceived as out of touch with the interests and concerns of ordinary citizens.

Populism manifests in different forms and variations across contexts and regions (James et al., 2021). Comparative studies of populism offer valuable insights into the diverse manifestations and dynamics worldwide (Grant & Booth, 2009). These studies highlight variations in the ideological orientation, organizational structures, and mobilization strategies of populist movements and differences in the socio-political contexts that shape their emergence and trajectory.

Scholars have employed various theoretical approaches to analyze and interpret populist movements (Greenhalgh & Peacock, 2005). These approaches include socio-psychological, economic, cultural, and discursive theories, among others (Fink, 2019). Socio-psychological theories emphasize the role of psychological factors such as resentment, anxiety, and identity in fueling support for populist leaders (Moher et al., 2010). Economic theories focus on the socio-economic grievances and inequalities that underpin populist mobilization (Gough, Thomas, & Oliver, 2017). Cultural theories highlight the role of cultural narratives, symbols, and identities in shaping populist discourses and appeals (Higgins, Altman, & Sterne, 2011). Discursive theories examine the discursive strategies and rhetorical techniques employed by populist leaders to construct a binary opposition between the "people" and the "elite" (Moher et al., 2009).

By critically engaging with these theoretical approaches, scholars seek to deepen our understanding of the dynamics and implications of populism within the context of Indonesian democracy (Fink, 2019). This involves exploring how different theoretical perspectives illuminate the drivers, mechanisms, and consequences of populist mobilization in Indonesia and assessing their relevance and applicability to the Indonesian context (Gough, Thomas, & Oliver, 2017). Through this theoretical inquiry,

scholars aim to contribute to broader debates on populism, democracy, and political representation in contemporary Indonesia (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003).

## **Findings**

### **Historical Context of Populism in Indonesia**

The literature on populism in Indonesia provides insights into the historical roots, evolution, and contemporary manifestations of populist movements within the country's political landscape. Historically, populist movements emerged during Indonesia's struggle for independence, particularly during the Indonesian National Revolution (1945-1949). Leaders like Sukarno were pivotal in mobilizing the masses around national unity and sovereignty ideals against colonial rule (Davidson, 2018; Weber, 2020). This era witnessed the birth of populist fervor, with charismatic leaders rallying the populace around the principles of self-determination and social justice (Supriatma, 2022).

However, the trajectory of populist discourse and strategies underwent significant shifts. While the Sukarno era was characterized by charismatic leadership and socialist principles, the subsequent Suharto regime marked a departure from democratic populism toward authoritarianism (Barker, 2019). Suharto co-opted populist rhetoric to legitimize centralized rule, leading to a decline in democratic populism (Coles, 2018; AHMAD, 2018). Despite efforts to distance themselves from these legacies, contemporary leaders like Joko Widodo (Jokowi) and Prabowo Subianto continue to grapple with the enduring impact of past populist movements (Hefner, 2018).

Jokowi's populist appeal lies in his grassroots ethos and focus on infrastructure development and social welfare (Davidson, 2018). Conversely, Prabowo's narrative revolves around nationalism and security, resonating with segments of the populace wary of external threats (Supriatma, 2022). Islamic populism also plays a significant role in Indonesian politics, intersecting with broader socio-religious dynamics (Van Bruinessen, 2013). Leaders like Prabowo harness Islamic populism to mobilize conservative voters, highlighting the complex interplay between religion and politics (Weber, 2020).

A comparative analysis of populist leadership styles reveals divergent approaches Jokowi and Prabowo employ. While Jokowi emphasizes inclusivity and pragmatism, Prabowo's narrative tends toward authoritarianism and nationalism (Supriatma, 2022). Despite these differences, both leaders utilize populist rhetoric to galvanize their political base, underscoring the enduring potency of populist appeals in Indonesian democracy (Barker, 2019).

In summary, the historical context, evolution, and contemporary manifestations of populism in Indonesia reflect a complex interplay of socio-political dynamics. By examining these aspects critically, scholars gain valuable insights into the nuances of populist mobilization and its implications for Indonesian democracy.

Table 1: Comparison of Leadership Styles and Key Appeals

| Feature           | Joko Widodo (Jokowi)                       | Prabowo Subianto                            |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Leadership Style  | Grassroots, Pragmatic                      | Authoritarian, Nationalist                  |
| Key Appeals       | Social Welfare, Infrastructure Development | Nationalism, Security                       |
| Electoral Base    | Urban Middle Class, Rural Communities      | Nationalists, Conservatives                 |
| Policy Priorities | Poverty Alleviation, Infrastructure        | National Defense, Economic Self-Sufficiency |

Created, 2024

Through a nuanced examination of populist leadership styles and tactics, scholars can gain insights into the complex interplay between personality, ideology, and political strategy in shaping the dynamics of Indonesian democracy.

**Electoral Strategies Employed by Populist Movements**

Populist movements in Indonesia deploy various campaigning techniques to mobilize support and shape electoral outcomes (Tapsell, 2017). These techniques often revolve around charismatic leadership, emotional appeals, and simplified messaging to resonate with the electorate (Hadiz, 2018). Populist leaders leverage mass rallies, social media, and traditional media platforms to disseminate their message, often employing vivid imagery and populist slogans to capture public attention (Gammon, 2020). Furthermore, populist campaigns focus on issues that resonate with the electorate's concerns, such as economic inequality, corruption, and social justice (Mudhoffir, 2020).

Mobilization lies at the heart of populist movements' electoral strategies as they seek to harness popular discontent and translate it into political support (Barton, 2021). Populist leaders employ various mobilization strategies, including grassroots organizing, door-to-door canvassing, and targeted outreach to specific demographic groups (Roza, 2022). Moreover, communication channels play a crucial role in mobilization efforts, with social media platforms providing a powerful tool for reaching and engaging voters (Heywood, 2021). Populist movements often utilize social media to disseminate their message, mobilize supporters, and counter opposition narratives, leveraging the immediacy and reach of online communication to amplify their impact (Yilmaz et al., 2022).

The messaging of populist movements significantly influences voter behavior, shaping perceptions, attitudes, and voting decisions (Agius et al., 2022). Populist leaders employ emotive language, simplistic narratives, and binary framing to appeal to the emotions and instincts of voters (Dorigné-Thomson, 2023). By framing issues in stark terms of "us versus them," populists seek to mobilize supporters and polarize public

opinion (Raditio & Yeremia, 2022). Moreover, populist messaging often emphasizes identity, belonging, and collective grievance, tapping into social and cultural anxieties to mobilize support. The impact of populist messaging on voter behavior is evident in the polarization of political discourse, the rise of identity politics, and the fragmentation of the electorate along ideological lines.

Table 2: Strategies Employed in Populist Movements' Electoral Campaigns

| Strategy               | Description                                                                                                                |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Charismatic Leadership | Leaders cultivate a strong personal connection with the electorate, projecting an image of authenticity and empathy.       |
| Emotional Appeals      | Campaign messaging is designed to evoke strong emotions and resonate with voters' hopes, fears, and aspirations.           |
| Simplified Messaging   | Complex issues are distilled into simple, easy-to-understand narratives that resonate with the electorate.                 |
| Mass Rallies           | Large-scale events are organized to rally supporters, generate enthusiasm, and showcase popular support for the movement.  |
| Social Media Campaigns | Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram are used to reach and engage with voters, disseminating campaign messages. |

Created, 2024

**Socio-Political Impacts of Populist Mobilization**

Populist mobilization can significantly impact democratic institutions and processes, shaping their functioning and legitimacy. While initially energizing and mobilizing citizens, populist movements can also undermine democratic norms and principles (Davidson, 2018). Populist leaders often concentrate power, weaken institutional checks and balances, and erode democratic safeguards, raising concerns about democratic backsliding and authoritarianism (Supriatma, 2022). Additionally, these movements may exacerbate political polarization, impede consensus-building, and disrupt the functioning of democratic institutions, posing challenges to governance and stability (Gammon, 2020).

**Societal Fragmentation and Group Identity Formation** Populist mobilization contributes to societal fragmentation and the formation of group identities along political, social, and cultural lines (Hadiz, 2018). By framing issues as "us versus them," populists deepen social divisions and polarize public discourse, fostering animosity and distrust among social groups (Coles, 2018). Furthermore, populist movements frequently employ identity politics, appealing to ethnic, religious, or national identities to rally support and spur political engagement (Van Bruinessen, 2013). This fragmentation along identity lines undermines social cohesion, heightens intergroup tensions, and impedes efforts to foster inclusive and pluralistic societies.

**Long-Term Implications for Democratic Stability and Governance** The long-term ramifications of populist mobilization for democratic stability and governance are multifaceted (Mudhoffir, 2020). While initially serving as a catalyst for political change and amplifying marginalized voices, populist movements can pose risks to democratic resilience and institutional integrity (Weber, 2020). Populist leaders' concentration of power, erosion of democratic norms, and polarization of public discourse weaken democratic institutions and undermine the rule of law, posing governance challenges (Tapsell, 2017). Moreover, societal divisions and identity politics fostered by populists hinder consensus-building, social cohesion, and the resolution of pressing societal issues. Thus, understanding these socio-political impacts is crucial for safeguarding democratic principles and nurturing inclusive and resilient democracies.

**Table 3: Impact of Populism on Democratic Governance**

| Impact                                | Description                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Democratic Institutions and Processes | Populist movements may weaken institutional checks and balances, erode democratic norms, and hinder governance.          |
| Societal Fragmentation                | Populism exacerbates social divisions, polarizes public discourse, and impedes efforts to foster inclusive societies.    |
| Long-Term Governance Challenges       | The concentration of power by populist leaders and societal divisions pose risks to democratic stability and governance. |

Created, 2024

**Challenges and Critiques of Populist Mobilization**

Challenges and Critiques of Populist Mobilization often harbor authoritarian tendencies, raising concerns about the consolidation of power and erosion of democratic norms (Tapsell, 2017). Populist leaders, buoyed by popular support, may tend to centralize authority, weaken institutional checks and balances, and marginalize dissenting voices (Hadiz, 2018). This concentration of power can undermine democratic governance and create fertile ground for authoritarian practices to take root (Gammon, 2020).

Threats Posed to Democratic Principles and Institutions include the significant threats to democratic principles and institutions jeopardizing the foundations of democratic governance (Mudhoffir, 2020). Populist movements, fueled by anti-establishment sentiment, may seek to undermine key democratic pillars such as the rule of law, separation of powers, and respect for minority rights (Barton, 2021). Moreover, the erosion of trust in democratic institutions and processes can weaken democratic resilience and pave the way for authoritarian encroachment (Roza, 2022).

Criticisms of Populist Policies and Governance Practices center on their efficacy, legitimacy, and adherence to democratic norms (Heywood, 2021). Populist leaders, often driven by short-term political expediency, may implement policies prioritizing populist rhetoric over evidence-based decision-making, leading to suboptimal



outcomes and exacerbating societal divisions (Yilmaz et al., 2022). Moreover, populist governance practices characterized by clientelism, nepotism, and cronyism undermine transparency, accountability, and the rule of law, eroding public trust in government institutions (Agius et al., 2022).

**Table 4:** Challenges of Populist Mobilization

| Challenge                                                | Description                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Authoritarian tendencies                                 | Populist movements may exhibit authoritarian tendencies, concentrating power and weakening democratic checks and balances.              |
| Threats to democratic principles and institutions        | Populism poses significant threats to democratic principles and institutions, undermining the rule of law and minority rights.          |
| Criticisms of populist policies and governance practices | Populist governance practices may prioritize rhetoric over evidence-based decision-making, undermining transparency and accountability. |

Created, 2024

**Discussion**

The discourse on populism in Indonesia underscores the complex interplay between historical legacies, contemporary political dynamics, and socio-political impacts (Tapsell, 2017). Examining the historical context reveals the genesis of populist fervor during Indonesia's struggle for independence, epitomized by leaders like Sukarno, who rallied the masses around ideals of national unity and sovereignty (Davidson, 2018; Weber, 2020). However, the trajectory of populism witnessed significant shifts over time, particularly during the transition from the Sukarno to the Suharto era. While Sukarno's charismatic populism emphasized socialist principles and anti-colonial sentiment, Suharto's regime saw a departure towards authoritarian populism, marked by centralized rule and co-optation of populist rhetoric to legitimize power (Barker, 2019; Coles, 2018; AHMAD, 2018).

Contemporary Indonesian politics is characterized by the enduring legacies of past populist movements, with leaders like Jokowi and Prabowo embodying contrasting strands of populism (Hefner, 2018). Jokowi's grassroots ethos and focus on pragmatic policies resonate with urban middle-class and rural communities, emphasizing social welfare and infrastructure development (Davidson, 2018). In contrast, Prabowo's narrative revolves around nationalism and security, appealing to nationalists and conservatives wary of external threats (Supriatma, 2022). Additionally, Islamic populism intersects with broader socio-religious dynamics, with leaders like Prabowo leveraging religious identities to mobilize conservative voters (Weber, 2020).

Electoral strategies employed by populist movements underscore their adaptability and sophistication in mobilizing support and shaping electoral outcomes

(Gammon, 2020). From charismatic leadership to emotional appeals and simplified messaging, populist campaigns leverage diverse techniques to resonate with the electorate's concerns (Hadiz, 2018). Mobilization efforts, including grassroots organizing and targeted outreach, coupled with the strategic use of social media platforms, amplify the impact of populist messaging, influencing voter behavior and shaping electoral outcomes (Roza, 2022; Heywood, 2021).

The socio-political impacts of populist mobilization in Indonesia profoundly affect democratic institutions, societal cohesion, and long-term governance (Mudhoffir, 2020). Populist movements have the potential to weaken institutional checks and balances, erode democratic norms, and exacerbate political polarization, posing challenges to governance and stability (Tapsell, 2017). Societal fragmentation, fueled by identity politics and divisive rhetoric, undermines social cohesion and impedes efforts to foster inclusive and pluralistic societies (Hadiz, 2018). Moreover, the concentration of power by populist leaders and the erosion of trust in democratic institutions pose risks to democratic stability and governance, hindering consensus-building and exacerbating governance challenges (Gammon, 2020; Barton, 2021).

Challenges and critiques of populist mobilization highlight authoritarian tendencies, threats to democratic principles and institutions, and criticisms of populist policies and governance practices (Heywood, 2021). The consolidation of power by populist leaders, coupled with the erosion of democratic norms and the prioritization of rhetoric over evidence-based decision-making, undermines transparency, accountability, and public trust in government institutions (Agius et al., 2022). Moreover, the significant threats posed to democratic principles and institutions jeopardize the foundations of democratic governance, paving the way for authoritarian encroachment and democratic backsliding (Roza, 2022; Mudhoffir, 2020).

In conclusion, the discourse on populism in Indonesia underscores the complex interplay between historical legacies, contemporary political dynamics, and socio-political impacts (Barton, 2021). By critically examining the nuances of populist mobilization, scholars can gain valuable insights into the challenges, implications, and critiques of populist governance (Hadiz, 2018). Understanding these dynamics is crucial for safeguarding democratic principles, fostering inclusive societies, and nurturing resilient democracies in Indonesia and beyond (Tapsell, 2017).

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the emergence and consolidation of populist movements in Indonesian democracy present a complex phenomenon with profound implications for governance, society, and democratic stability. This literature review delved into the historical roots, electoral strategies, socio-political impacts, and challenges associated with populist mobilization in Indonesia.

The historical context reveals how populist movements have evolved from the nationalist fervor of the independence struggle to the contemporary era of democratic transition and consolidation. We have examined the electoral strategies employed by populist leaders, including charismatic campaigning techniques, mobilization strategies, and messaging tactics that resonate with the electorate. Furthermore, we have explored the socio-political impacts of populist mobilization, including its effects on democratic institutions, societal cohesion, and long-term governance challenges.

Despite the initial allure of populism as a vehicle for amplifying marginalized voices and addressing popular grievances, we have highlighted the inherent challenges and critiques associated with populist mobilization. These include authoritarian tendencies within populist movements, threats to democratic principles and institutions, and criticisms of populist policies and governance practices.

Ultimately, the study of populist mobilization in Indonesian democracy underscores the need for nuanced analysis and vigilant scrutiny. While populist movements may tap into legitimate grievances and mobilize support for political change, they pose risks to democratic norms, governance stability, and social cohesion. By deepening our understanding of the complexities surrounding populist mobilization, we can better navigate the challenges and opportunities posed by populism in contemporary democracies, fostering inclusive, resilient, and democratic societies in Indonesia and beyond.

### **Acknowledgment**

The author acknowledges the invaluable contributions of scholars whose research has informed and enriched the discussion on populism in Indonesia. Their rigorous analysis and insights have deepened our understanding of the complexities surrounding this phenomenon, shaping the discourse on democratic governance and political dynamics in the region.

### **References**

- Agius, C., Edney-Browne, A., Nicholas, L., & Cook, K. (2022). Anti-feminism, gender, and the far-right gap in C/PVE measures. *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 15(3), 681-705.
- AHMAD, A. B. (2018). HEGEMONI SOEHARTO TERHADAP GERAKAN PELAJAR DI INDONESIA, 1966-1998.
- Barker, T. (2019). *Indonesian cinema after the New Order: going mainstream*. Hong Kong University Press.
- Barton, G. (2021). Contesting Indonesia's democratic transition: Laskar Jihad, the Islamic defenders front (FPI) and civil society. *Security, Democracy, and Society in Bali: Trouble with Protection*, 305-331.
- Bjola, C., & Kornprobst, M. (2018). *Understanding international diplomacy: theory, practice, and ethics*. Routledge.

- Coles, G. (2018). "What Do I Lack as a Woman?": The Rhetoric of Megawati Sukarnoputri. *Rhetorica: A Journal of the History of Rhetoric*, 36(1), 58-91.
- Cooper, H. M. (1988). Organizing knowledge syntheses: A taxonomy of literature reviews. *Knowledge in society*, 1(1), 104.
- Davidson, J. S. (2018). *Indonesia: Twenty years of democracy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dorigné-Thomson, C. (2023). Indonesian Foreign Policy's Contemporary Evolution. In *Indonesia's Engagement with Africa* (pp. 67-127). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Fink, A. (2019). *Conducting research literature reviews: From the internet to paper*. Sage publications.
- Gammon, L. (2020). Is populism a threat to Indonesian democracy? *Democracy in Indonesia: Stagnation in regression*, 101-117.
- Gough, D., Thomas, J., & Oliver, S. (2017). An introduction to systematic reviews. *An introduction to systematic reviews*, 1-352.
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health information & libraries journal*, 26(2), 91-108.
- Greenhalgh, T., & Peacock, R. (2005). Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources. *Bmj*, 331(7524), 1064-1065.
- Hadiz, V. R. (2016). *Islamic populism in Indonesia and the Middle East*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hakim, L. N. (2023). New Order and the Politicisation of Islam. In *Islamism and the Quest for Hegemony in Indonesia* (pp. 101-143). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Hefner, R. W. (2018). Plural legacies and contemporary contestations. *Routledge Handbook of Contemporary Indonesia*.
- Heywood, A. (2021). *Political ideologies: An introduction*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Higgins, J. P. T., Altman, D. G., & Sterne, J. A. (2011). We are assessing the risk of bias in the included studies (Chapter 8). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Version, 5(0).
- Iskandar, P. (2018). Indigenizing Constitutionalism: A Critical Reading of Asian Constitutionalism. *Indon. J. Int'l & Comp. L.*, 5, 3.
- James, M. S., Marrissa, C., Mark, S., & Anthea, B. (2021). Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review. *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*, 1-100.
- Jones, Z. P. (2014). *Southeast Asian space programs: motives, cooperation, and competition* (Doctoral dissertation, Monterey, California: Naval Postgraduate School).
- Kurylo, B. (2022). The discourse and aesthetics of populism as securitization style. *International Relations*, 36(1), 127-147.
- Mietzner, M. (2023). The 2022 Indonesian Elections: Jokowi's Controversial Comeback. *Journal of Democracy*, 34(2), 143-157.

- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group\*. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 264-269.
- Mudde, C. (Ed.). (2016). *The populist radical right: A reader*. Taylor & Francis.
- Mudhoffir, A. M. (2020). Islamic Populism and Indonesia's Illiberal Democracy. *From Stagnation to Regression? Indonesian Democracy after Twenty Years*, 118-140.
- Papaioannou, D., Sutton, A., & Booth, A. (2016). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*, 1-336.
- Raditio, K. H., & Yeremia, A. E. (2022). The Limits of Populism: SBY and Jokowi's Strategies on the South China Sea Issue. In *Populism, Nationalism, and South China Sea Dispute: Chinese and Southeast Asian Perspectives* (pp. 79-109). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Roza, A. (2022). *Data Journalism in Indonesia in the Time of Hoaxes* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Technology, Sydney (Australia)).
- Saputra, A. B., Setiawan, A., & Herpina, H. (2021). Explaining the Effects of Political Islam and Preacher toward Prabowo's Electoral Dominance: Evidence from Pekanbaru and Kampar. *Jurnal Politik*, 7(1), 4.
- Supriatma, M. (2022). MONEY OR IDENTITY? ELECTION INSIGHTS FROM SOUTH SUMATRA AND LAMPUNG PROVINCES. *The Jokowi-Prabowo Elections 2.0*, 266.
- Tapsell, R. (2017). *Media power in Indonesia: Oligarchs, citizens, and the digital revolution*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge using systematic review. *British journal of management*, 14(3), 207-222.
- Van Bruinessen, M. (Ed.). (2013). *Contemporary Developments in Indonesian Islam: Explaining the "Conservative Turn."* Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Weber, A. (2020). *Sukarno and the Idea of Indonesia: A History of Indonesian Nationalism*. BoD–Books on Demand
- Yilmaz, I., Morieson, N., & Bachtar, H. (2022). Civilizational Populism in Indonesia: The Case of Front Pembela Islam (FPI). *Religions*, 13(12), 1208.